

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/710,902 | Applicant(s) KUPHAL ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Alexander Grosz | Art Unit 3673 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Alexander Grosz

(3) _____

 (2) MR. NOLL

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4/1/04
Time: 2:40 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE
Claims discussed: 10
Prior art documents discussed: NONE
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

TO PROVIDE PROPER ANTECEDENT BASIS FOR HORIZONTAL,
 "SAID" WAS CHANGED TO - A -

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)